## **REMARKS**

## A. Introduction

Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration of the above-entitled application in view of the following remarks. This Supplemental Amendment is submitted in response to the Office Action mailed August 12, 1992 and supplemental to the Amendment dated November 12, 1992. This Supplemental Amendment clarifies the Examiner Interview Summary Record.

## B. Interview Summary

As noted in the Amendment dated November 12, 1992, the undersigned and the Examiner participated in a telephone interview on November 10, 1992. The undersigned has received an Examiner Interview Summary Record mailed November 12, 1992.

The Examiner Interview Summary Record states: "Applicant determined that more detail with respect to target selection is needed to distinguish it from Waller." The undersigned respectfully traverses this assertion to the extent it implies agreement that any of the claims would have been unpatentable over Waller. The undersigned believes the Amendment dated November 12, 1992 more correctly reflects the position taken by the undersigned during the interview. As stated at page 14, the Amendment submits new claims 63 and 64 in hopes of reaching a compromise of the issues with respect to claims 1 and 11. Claims 63 and 64 include additional limitations that may be necessary to reach agreement on allowable subject matter.

## C. Conclusion

In view of the above remarks, applicant requests that the Examiner reconsider this application, allow claims 1-64, indicate approval of the proposed drawing correction submitted with the Amendment dated

November 12, 1992, make the items enclosed with the Amendment dated November 12, 1992 of record, and pass this case to issue.

Respectfully submitted,

James T. Beran

Registration No. 31,090 Phone: (415) 812-4253

Palo Alto, California December 23,1992